

§2. Compact Operators

Compact linear operators

A linear operator A defined from a normed space E into a normed space F is called a compact linear operator or completely continuous linear operator if for every bounded subset Ω of E , the image $A(\Omega)$ is relatively compact in F . In other words, the closure $\overline{A(\Omega)}$ is compact.

Theorem 1 (Compactness criterion)

A linear operator A defined from a normed space E into a normed space F is called a linear compact operator or completely continuous linear operator if and only if for every bounded sequence φ_n in E , the sequence $A\varphi_n$ in F has a convergent subsequence $A\varphi_{n_k}$.

Proof

Let φ_n be a bounded sequence in E , since the operator A is compact, then the set $\{A\varphi_n\}$ is relatively compact in F where this property shows that $A\varphi_n$ contains a convergent subsequence.

Conversely, let us consider any bounded subset Ω in E and let ψ_n be any sequence in $A(\Omega)$. Then there exists a bounded sequence φ_n in Ω , such that

$$\psi_n = A\varphi_n.$$

By assumption, $A\varphi_n = \psi_n$ contains a convergent subsequence ψ_{n_k} in F . Thus $A(\Omega)$ is relatively compact, because for any bounded sequence ψ_n in $A(\Omega)$ there exists a convergent subsequence ψ_{n_k} in F . In other words, for all bounded set $\Omega \subset E$, the set $A(\Omega)$ is relatively compact in F . Hence A is compact.

Theorem 2

The linear combination $A = \alpha A_1 + \beta A_2$ of compact operators A_1 and A_2 is a compact operator, for every scalars α and β .

Proof

Let φ_n be a bounded sequence in E and let $A\varphi_n$ be a sequence in F , then

$$A\varphi_n(x) = \alpha A_1\varphi_n(x) + \beta A_2\varphi_n(x), \text{ with } \varphi_n \in E, n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

The operators A_1 and A_2 are compact, one can extract from $A_1\varphi_n$ and $A_2\varphi_n$ two convergent subsequences which give by their sum a convergent subsequence of $A\varphi_n$. Hence A is compact.

Theorem 3

The product AB of two bounded operators A and B is compact if either of operators A or B is compact.

Proof

Let φ_n be a bounded sequence in E , then if we consider B as a bounded operator the sequence $B\varphi_n(x)$ is bounded, and from the compactness of the operator A gives a convergent subsequence $A(B\varphi_{n_k}(x))$ of $A(B\varphi_n(x))$. Hence the operator AB is compact.

On the other hand, if we consider B as a compact, one can extract from $B\varphi_n(x)$ a convergent subsequence $B\varphi_{n_k}(x)$, and from the boundedness of the operator A gives the convergence of the sequence $A(B\varphi_{n_k}(x))$. Hence the operator AB is compact.

Theorem 4

The sequence A_n of compact operators defined from a normed space E into a Banach space F converges uniformly to an operator A , say,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|A_n - A\| = 0.$$

Then the limit operator A is compact.

Proof

Let φ_n be a bounded sequence in E , the operator A_1 is compact, then one can extract from the sequence $A_1\varphi_n$ a convergent subsequence, say φ_{1n} a subsequence from φ_n such that $A_1\varphi_{1n}$ converges.

In the same way, taking φ_{1n} as a bounded sequence so, we can extract from the sequence $A_2\varphi_{1n}$ a convergent subsequence, say φ_{2n} a subsequence from φ_{1n} such that $A_2\varphi_{2n}$ converges.

Noting that, we obtain from the bounded sequence φ_n a subsequence φ_{2n} such that $A_1\varphi_{2n}$ and $A_2\varphi_{2n}$ both converge.

Continuing in this way, we see that, for the compact operators A_1, A_2, \dots, A_p , there exists a nested subsequences

$$\varphi_{pn} \subset \dots \varphi_{2n} \subset \varphi_{1n} \subset \varphi_n,$$

such that, the sequences $A_k\varphi_{pn}$ converge for all $k = 1, 2, \dots, p$.

In order to show the compactness of the operator limit A , we must use the completeness of the space F and showing that the sequence $A\varphi_{pn}$ is Cauchy sequence.

Noting that the sequence φ_n is bounded, say $\|\varphi_n\| \leq M$ for all n . Hence $\|\varphi_{pn}\| \leq M$ for each n and p . Choose $n = p$ so that

$$\|A_n - A\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3M}.$$

Since the sequence $A_n\varphi_{pn}$ is Cauchy, because it converges, so there exists N such that, for all $p > N$ and $q > N$, we get

$$\|A_n\varphi_{pn} - A_n\varphi_{qn}\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}.$$

Hence, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|A\varphi_{pn} - A\varphi_{qn}\| &= \|A\varphi_{pn} - A\varphi_{qn} + A_n\varphi_{pn} - A_n\varphi_{pn} + A_n\varphi_{qn} - A_n\varphi_{qn}\| \\ &\leq \|A\varphi_{pn} - A_n\varphi_{pn}\| + \|A_n\varphi_{pn} - A_n\varphi_{qn}\| + \|A_n\varphi_{qn} - A\varphi_{qn}\| \\ &\leq \|A_n - A\| \|\varphi_{pn}\| + \|A_n\varphi_{pn} - A_n\varphi_{qn}\| + \|A_n - A\| \|\varphi_{qn}\| \\ &\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{3M}M + \frac{\varepsilon}{3} + \frac{\varepsilon}{3M}M = \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Remembering that, due to the completeness of the space F , the Cauchy sequence $A\varphi_{pn}$ converges as a subsequence of $A\varphi_n$ where φ_{pn} is a subsequence of an arbitrary bounded sequence φ_n . Hence the compactness of the operator A .

Theorem 5 (*finite dimensional range*)

Let A be a bounded operator defined from E into F with the range $A(E)$ has a finite dimension, $\dim A(E) < \infty$ then the operator A is compact.

Proof

Indeed, for all bounded set Ω in E , the range $A(\Omega)$ is a bounded set in the finite dimensional space $A(E)$. Hence $A(\Omega)$ is relatively compact, it follows that A is a compact operator.

Theorem 6 (*finite dimensional domain*)

Let A be a bounded operator defined from E into F with the domain E has a finite dimension, $\dim E < \infty$ then the operator A is compact.

Proof

Indeed, the space E has a finite dimension, $\dim E < \infty$ implies the finite dimensional range $A(E)$, say

$$\dim A(E) \leq \dim E,$$

it follows that, A is a compact operator.

Lemma 1

Let F be a closed subspace in the normed space E such that, $F \neq E$ then there exists an element $\varphi \in E$ with $\|\varphi\| = 1$ such that, for all $\psi \in F$, we have

$$\|\varphi - \psi\| \geq \alpha, \text{ with } 0 < \alpha < 1$$

Proof

Indeed, let f be an element of E such that $f \notin F$ then, we get

$$\inf_{h \in F} \|f - h\| = \beta > 0,$$

choosing an element g belongs to F such that,

$$\beta \leq \|f - g\| \leq \frac{\beta}{\alpha}.$$

Define the vector φ by

$$\varphi = \frac{f - g}{\|f - g\|},$$

this vector φ has a unit norm $\|\varphi\| = 1$, besides, for all $\psi \in F$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varphi - \psi\| &= \left\| \frac{f - g}{\|f - g\|} - \psi \right\| \\ &= \left\| \frac{f - g}{\|f - g\|} - \frac{\psi (\|f - g\|)}{\|f - g\|} \right\| \\ &= \frac{1}{\|f - g\|} \|f - (g + (\|f - g\| \psi))\| \\ &\geq \frac{\beta}{\|f - g\|} \geq \alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 7

The identity operator I defined from a normed space E into E is compact if and only if the space E has a finite dimension.

Proof

let φ_1 be an element of E , such that $\|\varphi_1\| = 1$, then the set of finite dimension $F_1 = \text{span}\{\varphi_1\}$ represents a closed subspace of E . So there exists an element $\varphi_2 \in E$, such that $\|\varphi_2\| = 1$ and $\|\varphi_1 - \varphi_2\| \geq \frac{1}{2}$. By the same way we take a closed subspace $F_2 = \text{span}\{\varphi_1, \varphi_2\}$ and finding an element $\varphi_3 \in E$ such that $\|\varphi_3\| = 1$ with $\|\varphi_1 - \varphi_3\| \geq \frac{1}{2}$ and $\|\varphi_2 - \varphi_3\| \geq \frac{1}{2}$. One repeat the same procedure until the obtaining of a sequence φ_n verifying $\|\varphi_n\| = 1$ and $\|\varphi_m - \varphi_n\| > \frac{1}{2}$, for all $m \neq n$.

Noting that, the sequence φ_n is bounded but does not contain any convergent subsequence. Hence the operator $I\varphi_n = \varphi_n$ is not compact.

Corollary 1

The closed unit ball $B(0,1)$ in the normed space E of infinitely dimensional is not compact.

Indeed, $B(0,1)$ is bounded but cannot be compact; thus

$$I(B(0,1)) = B(0,1),$$

is not relatively compact. In other words $\overline{B(0,1)}$ is not compact.

Corollary 2

A bounded operator A in a normed space E is not generally a compact operator.

Indeed, see the identity operator $A = I$ in the infinitely dimensional normed space E is not compact.

Theorem 8

The integral operator A defined from $C(\Omega)$ into $C(\Omega)$

$$A\varphi(x) = \int_{\Omega} k(x,y)\varphi(y)dy, \quad x, y \in \Omega$$

with continuous kernel $k(x,y)$ is a compact operator.

Proof

Let G be a bounded set of $C(\Omega)$ then, for each $\varphi \in G$, there exists $M > 0$, such that

$$\|\varphi\| \leq M,$$

besides, for all $x \in \Omega$ and $\varphi \in G$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |A\varphi(x)| &= \left| \int_{\Omega} k(x, y)\varphi(y)dy \right| \\ &\leq \max_{x, y \in \Omega} |k(x, y)| M \text{mes}(\Omega). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $A(G)$ is bounded.

By assumption, the kernel $k(x, y)$ is continuous over the compact $\Omega \times \Omega$, thus it is uniformly continuous and therefore

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0, \forall x, y, z \in \Omega, |x - y| < \delta \Rightarrow |k(x, z) - k(y, z)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{M \text{mes}(\Omega)}.$$

Hence, for each $\varphi \in G$ and $x, y \in \Omega$, with $|x - y| < \delta$

$$\begin{aligned} |A\varphi(x) - A\varphi(y)| &= \left| \int_{\Omega} (k(x, z) - k(y, z))\varphi(z)dz \right| \\ &< \frac{\varepsilon}{M \text{mes}(\Omega)} M \text{mes}(\Omega) = \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

This relation expresses that $A(G)$ is equicontinuous. Hence $A(G)$ is relatively compact, so by Arzela-Ascoli's theorem A is compact.

Weakly singular kernel

The kernel $k(x, y)$ is said to be weakly singular if it is defined continuous on $\Omega \times \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ for all $x \neq y$ and there exist a positive constants M and $\alpha \in]0, n]$ such that

$$|k(x, y)| < \frac{M}{|x - y|^{n-\alpha}}, \quad x, y \in \Omega, \quad x \neq y.$$

In other words,

$$\forall x, y \in \Omega, \quad x \neq y, \quad \exists M > 0, \quad |k(x, y)| < \frac{M}{|x - y|^{n-\alpha}}, \quad 0 < \alpha \leq n$$

Theorem 9

The integral operator A defined from $C(\Omega)$ into $C(\Omega)$ with weakly continuous kernel is a compact operator.

proof

Noting that, the integral operator

$$A\varphi(x) = \int_{\Omega} k(x, y)\varphi(y)dy, \quad x, y \in \Omega$$

exists as an improper integral, due to the weakly continuous kernel

$$|k(x, y)\varphi(y)| \leq M \|\varphi\| |x - y|^{\alpha-n},$$

further,

$$\int_{\Omega} |x - y|^{\alpha-n} dy \leq \omega_n \int_0^d \rho^{\alpha-n} \rho^{n-1} d\rho = \frac{\omega_n}{\alpha} d^{\alpha},$$

where ω_n designates the surface area of the unit sphere in \mathbb{R}^n and d the diameter of the set Ω .

Let us construct a sequence of compact operators A_n which converges to the integral operator A , such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|A_n - A\| = 0.$$

choosing now a linear continuous function h defined on $[0, \infty[$ into \mathbb{R} , by

$$h(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } 0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ 2t - 1 & \text{if } \frac{1}{2} \leq t \leq 1 \\ 1 & \text{if } 1 \leq t < \infty \end{cases},$$

The function $k_n(x, y)$ defined on $\Omega \times \Omega$ into \mathbb{R} , by

$$k_n(x, y) = \begin{cases} h(n|x - y|)k(x, y) & \text{if } x \neq y \\ 0 & \text{if } x = y \end{cases}$$

is a continuous kernel for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence the integral operators A_n such that

$$A_n\varphi(x) = \int_{\Omega} k_n(x, y)\varphi(y)dy, \quad x, y \in \Omega,$$

are compact.

Besides, for all $x \in \Omega$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
|A_n\varphi(x) - A\varphi(x)| &= \left| \int_{\Omega} [k_n(x, y) - k(x, y)]\varphi(y)dy \right| \\
&= \left| \int_{\Omega \cap |x-y| < \frac{1}{n}} \{h(n|x-y|) - 1\} k(x, y)\varphi(y)dy \right| \\
&\leq M \|\varphi\| \omega_n \int_0^{\frac{1}{n}} \rho^{\alpha-n} \rho^{n-1} d\rho \\
&\leq M \|\varphi\| \frac{\omega_n}{\alpha n^\alpha}.
\end{aligned}$$

It is simple to see that the convergence $A_n\varphi$ to $A\varphi$ is uniform, so it follows that,

$$\|A - A_n\| \leq M \frac{\omega_n}{\alpha n^\alpha} \rightarrow 0, \text{ when } p \rightarrow \infty,$$

and thus A is compact operator.

Theorem 10

The integral operator A defined from the normed space $C(\partial\Omega)$ into $C(\partial\Omega)$ with continuous or weakly continuous kernel is a compact operator, where under $\partial\Omega$ we designate a regular boundary of the set Ω .

Bibliography

- [1] **M. NADIR.** Cours d'analyse fonctionnelle, université de Msila 2004.

Address. Prof. Dr. Mostefa NADIR
Department of Mathematics
Faculty of Mathematics and Informatics
University of Msila
28000 ALGERIA

E-mail. mostefanadir@yahoo.fr